SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
STATE OF NEW YORK, et al.,	: :	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	20-CV-5770 (RCW) (PWH) (JMF)
V	:	ORDER
-V-	•	ORDER
DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as	:	
President of the United States, et al.,	:	
D. C 1	:	
Defendants.	:	
	X	
	71	

At the oral argument scheduled for September 3, 2020 at 10:00 a.m., the parties should

RICHARD C. WESLEY, United States Circuit Judge

PETER W. HALL, United States Circuit Judge JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge

be prepared to address the following topics and questions:

LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLIRT

Before:

- Standing, specifically the components of traceability and redressability. The parties should be sure to differentiate between the two theories of harm that Plaintiffs allege the "apportionment harm" and the "Census count harm," *see* ECF No. 150, at 29 and whether or how each harm relates to, and would be redressable by, the requested relief.
- More specifically, assuming Plaintiffs are correct that the Presidential Memorandum has a chilling effect on Census participation, whether and how would the requested relief redress that injury? How effective must a remedy be to meet the redressability requirement? To the extent that the NGO Plaintiffs have diverted, or will divert, resources to address the Presidential Memorandum, would the requested relief redress that harm?
- Additionally, to the extent that the NGO Plaintiffs have diverted, or will divert, resources to address the Presidential Memorandum, in light of *Clapper v. Amnesty International USA*, 568 U.S. 398 (2013), must those expenditures be made in response to injuries that are *themselves* sufficiently imminent and impending to satisfy Article III to constitute cognizable injury?
- Ripeness, both constitutional and prudential, and particularly with respect to the apportionment harm.

- If the Court agrees with Plaintiffs on both the threshold issues of jurisdiction and justiciability and the merits of their statutory or constitutional claims, should it enter a preliminary injunction or grant summary judgment? Does Plaintiffs' showing of harm bear on that question and, if so, how?
- If the Court rules in Plaintiffs' favor, can it grant effective relief without granting relief against the President himself?

Each side should anticipate having 20-30 minutes for its oral argument. Only one lawyer from each side will be permitted to speak on a given issue.

SO ORDERED.	
Dated: August 31, 2020	/s/
New York, New York	RICHARD C. WESLEY
	United States Circuit Judge
	/s/
	PETER W. HALL
	United States Circuit Judge
	/s/
	JESSE M. FURMAN
	United States District Judge